RESEARCH Open Access

Bacterial contamination of neonatal intensive care units: How safe are the neonates?

Dharm Raj Bhatta^{1*}, Supram Hosuru Subramanya¹, Deependra Hamal¹, Rajani Shrestha¹, Eva Gauchan², Sahisnuta Basnet², Niranjan Nayak¹ and Shishir Gokhale¹

Abstract

Background: Intensive care units (ICU) are essential healthcare facility for life threatening conditions. Bacterial contamination of objects/instruments in ICU is an important source of nosocomial infections. This study is aimed to determine the level of bacterial contamination of instruments/objects which are commonly touched by healthcare workers and frequently come in contact with the neonates.

Methods: This hospital based prospective study was conducted in neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of Manipal Teaching Hospital, Pokhara, Nepal. A total of 146 samples collected from surfaces of incubators, radiant warmers, suction tips, ventilators, stethoscopes, door handles, weighing machines, mothers' beds, phototherapy beds, laryngoscope, telephone sets, blood pressure machine, etc. formed the material of the study. Isolation, identification and antibiotic susceptibility of the bacterial isolates was performed by standard techniques. Blood culture isolates from NICU patients during the study period were compared with the environmental isolates.

Results: Out of 146 samples, bacterial growth was observed in 109. A total of 119 bacterial isolates were retrieved from 109 samples. Three common potential pathogens isolated were *Escherichia coli* (n = 27), *Klebsiella* species (n = 21) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (n = 18). Majority of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* isolates were from incubators, suction tips and mothers' beds. Majority of *S. aureus* isolates were cultured from radiant warmers. Among *S. aureus* isolates, 33.3% (6/18) were methicillin resistant. Majority of the bacterial isolates were susceptible to gentamicin and amikacin. Common potential pathogens isolated from blood culture of NICU patients were *S. aureus* and *Klebsiella* species.

Conclusion: High degree of bacterial contamination of objects/instruments in NICU was recorded. Isolation of potential pathogens like *E. coli, Klebsiella* species and *S. aureus* is a major threat of nosocomial infections. Blood culture data of NICU reflects possibility of nosocomial infections from contaminated sites. Gentamicin and amikacin may be used for empirical therapy in suspected cases of nosocomial infections in NICU.

Keywords: NICU, Nosocomial infections, Bacterial contamination, Disinfection, Antibiotic resistance

Background

Intensive care units (ICU) are essential components of healthcare facility for treatment of life threatening conditions. Low birth weight infants admitted in neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) are immunocompromised

and vulnerable to nosocomial infections [1, 2]. Bacterial contamination of objects/instruments in ICU is a major source of nosocomial infections. Every year, more than one million neonatal deaths are reported worldwide [3]. Nosocomial infections among neonates are responsible for 30–40% of the death in resource constrained countries [4, 5]. Bacteria, fungi and viruses contaminate and survive on the surfaces, equipment and indoor environment of NICU for variable duration [6]. Colonized healthcare workers (HCW) and patients are other

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*}Correspondence: ddharma2039@gmail.com

¹ Department of Microbiology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara Nepal

important sources of pathogens. The contributory factors include poor hand hygiene, overcrowding, understaffing, inadequately trained staff and insufficient disinfection/fumigation. Approximately one-third of nosocomial infections can be prevented by adapting strict infection control protocols [7].

Neonates admitted in NICU are vulnerable to nosocomial infections emanating from contaminated objects/ instruments. The risk of transmission is higher during surgical and mechanical manipulations such as arterial and venous catheters, tracheal cannulas, peritoneal shunt, chest drains etc. [8, 9]. Various bacterial agents have been implicated in contamination of ICU. Clinically important potential pathogens include S. aureus including methicillin resistant S. aureus (MRSA), Klebsiella species, E. coli, Pseudomonas species, Acinetobacter species and *Enterococcus* species. [10]. Increasing trends of antimicrobial resistance and emergence of multidrug resistant (MDR) pathogens like MRSA, vancomycin resistant S. aureus (VRSA), extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) producing Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter species in NICU result into high morbidity and mortality.

The spectrum of organisms colonizing NICU environment changes over time and varies from hospital to hospital within and outside country. Therefore, this study was undertaken to evaluate the bacterial contamination of commonly touched objects/instruments of NICU. Despite increasing incidences of nosocomial infections, few studies have explored the role of bacteriological contamination of NICU surfaces. Contamination monitoring in NICU is rare in hospitals of Nepal and limited data is available. This probably is the first study from Nepal to report bacteriological profile and antibiotic resistance patterns of environmental isolates of NICU. Present study was aimed to determine the level of bacterial contamination of the instruments/objects commonly touched by HCW and/or which frequently come in contact with neonates. Bacteriological examination of NICU environmental samples could provide information about level of bacterial contamination, antibiotic resistance patterns of the isolates and effectiveness of cleaning/disinfection procedures.

Methods

This hospital based prospective study was conducted in NICU of Manipal Teaching Hospital, Pokhara over a period of ten months. Approval from the Institutional Review Committee (IRC) of Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal, was obtained before commencement of the study (MEMG/IRC/311/GA). Manipal Teaching Hospital is a 750 bedded tertiary care hospital of Western Nepal, with Medical ICU, Neuro ICU,

Surgical ICU, Critical Care Unit, Pediatric ICU and NICU. This hospital has well equipped NICU to accommodate 21 neonates. The detailed information regarding cleaning/disinfection of objects/instruments of NICU were obtained. Healthcare professionals of NICU follow standard hand washing protocols before and after examination of each baby. The floor is mopped twice a day with detergent solution. Non invasive objects/instruments, table tops and other surfaces are wiped with 70% isopropyl alcohol swabs. Invasive instruments are sterilized/disinfected by autoclaving and/or Cidex as per manufacturer's directions. NICU is fumigated once in three months by fumigator filled with quaternary ammonium compound solution (SOT 125 TM).

Specimen collection

A total of 146 samples were collected from surfaces of incubators, radiant warmers, suction tips, ventilators, stethoscopes, ambu bags, door handles, digital weighing machines, mothers' beds, phototherapy beds, laryngoscope, bedside locker, telephone sets, hood box, blood pressure machine, station counter, wall BPL monitor and sterilizer. Majority of these sites either come in direct contact with healthcare professionals or neonates. Samples were collected by rubbing sterile swabs moistened with peptone water.

Isolation and identification of bacterial isolates

Samples were inoculated immediately in peptone water and incubated overnight. Sub cultures were performed on MacConkey agar and Blood agar plates. Plates were incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 24–48 h. Identification of the isolates was performed by standard microbiological techniques such as colony morphology, microscopic features and standard phenotypic characters [11].

Antibiotic susceptibility test

Antibiotic susceptibility testing of the isolates was performed on Mueller Hinton agar (HI media, Mumbai, India) by Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method [12]. Bacterial isolates showing resistance to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories were labeled as MDR [13]. Methicillin resistance among *S. aureus* was detected by cefoxitin (30 μ g) disc diffusion method [12]. The ESBL production by Gram-negative bacilli was detected by standard methods [12]. Details of isolates from the blood culture of the patients admitted in NICU during the study period were extracted from medical record and compared with environmental isolates of this study.

Biofilm detection

Biofilm forming ability of the *S. aureus* isolates was tested by Congo red agar test method [14]. Isolates with black colonies were reported as biofilm producers.

Results

Out of 146 samples collected from various sites, bacterial growth was observed in 109 specimens while 37 samples did not show bacterial growth. A total of 119 bacterial isolates were retrieved from 109 samples. Details of sampling sites and bacterial isolates are depicted in Table 1. Three potential pathogens isolated were *E. coli* (27/119), Klebsiella species (21/119) and S. aureus (18/119). Majority of E. coli and Klebsiella isolates were isolated from incubators, suction tips and mothers' beds. Majority of S. aureus isolates were cultured from radiant warmers. Among S. aureus isolates, 33.3% (6/18) were MRSA and remaining were MSSA. Vancomycin resistant S. aureus (VRSA) was not detected. Other bacterial isolates were Acinetobacter species, Pseudomonas species, Coagulase negative Staphylococci, Enterococcus species, Micrococcus species, Diphtheroid and aerobic spore bearers. The antibiotic resistance patterns of bacterial isolates are shown in Table 2.

Majority of the bacterial isolates were susceptible to imipenem, gentamicin and amikacin. High percentage of multidrug resistance was observed among *E. coli*

37% (10/27) and *Klebsiella* species 52.4% (11/21). Out of 18 *S. aureus* isolates, 27.7% (5/18) were MDR and 33.3% (6/18) were biofilm producers. Biofilm production among MRSA (66.6%) isolates was significantly higher than MSSA (16.6%) isolates (*p* value < 0.001). Among Gram-negative bacteria, majority of *E. coli* 70.3% (19/27) and *Klebsiella* species 71.4% (15/21) were ESBL producers which is significantly higher than non fermentative Gram-negative bacilli (*p* value < 0.01). Details of ESBL production among Gram-negative isolates is depicted in Table 3.

Common isolates from blood culture were S. aureus (n=13) and Klebsiella species (n=5). Eight isolates of S. aureus and three isolates of Klebsiella species from blood culture have antibiogram similar to that of the environmental isolates.

Discussion

Nosocomial infections in NICU are one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality [8, 9]. The mortality rates in NICU of resource constrained countries vary from 11.9 to 14.7%, much higher than the rates in high resource countries (6.1–7.1%) [15–17]. Microbial agents constantly inhabit the hospital environment including NICU. Bacterial contamination of NICU is one of the major factors responsible for higher incidences of nosocomial infections.

Table 1 Bacteria isolated from NICU environmental surfaces

Sampling sites	Bacterial isolates							
	E. coli	Klebsiella species	Pseudomonas species	Acinetobacter species	S. aureus	CoNS	Enteroccus species	
Radiant warmer	02	02	_	01	12	-	-	
Suction tip	06	04	06	02	=	-	02	
Incubator	08	02	01	=	_	04	01	
Stethoscope	-	-	=	=	01	02	02	
Phototherapy bed	02	01	=	=	=	-	=	
Mothers' bed	05	04	01	02	-	-	_	
Ambu bag	-	02	=	=	-	-	_	
Ventilator	01	-	=	=	=	-	=	
Door handles	-	=	=	_	02	01	03	
Weighing machine	01	03	=	=	-	02	01	
Laryngoscope	01	-	=	=	02	01	_	
Telephone set	-	=	=	01	_	02	02	
Bedside locker	01	01	=	=	_	02	02	
BP Machine	-	-	=	01	=	03	02	
Station counter	-	01	=	=	=	01	=	
Hood box	=	-	=	=	=	02	=	
Wall BPL monitor	=	01	01	=	=	02	=	
Sterilizer	-	-	=	=	01	-	=	
Total	27	21	09	07	18	22	15	

Table 2 Antibiotic resistance pattern of bacterial isolates

Antibiotic	E. coli (N = 27) Frequency (%)	Klebsiella species (N = 21) Frequency (%)	Pseudomonas species (N = 09) Frequency (%)	Acinetobacter species (N = 07) Frequency (%)	S. aureus (N = 18) Frequency (%)
Ampicillin	27 (100)	21 (100)	_	_	=
Ciprofloxacin	8 (29.6)	7 (33.3)	4 (44.4)	3 (42.8)	6 (33.3)
Gentamicin	10 (37)	11 (52.4)	2 (22.2)	2 (28.5)	1 (5.5)
Ceftazidime	22 (81.5)	18 (85.7)	4 (44.4)	3 (42.8)	-
Ceftriaxone	21 (77.7)	17 (80.9)	=	-	-
Co-trimoxazole	9 (33.3)	6 (28.5)	=	-	5 (27.7)
Amikacin	0	0	0	0	0
Imipenem	0	0	0	0	-
Cefoxitin	_	-	=	-	6 (33.3)
Erythromycin	-	-	_	-	11 (61.1)
Clindamycin	_	_	_	_	04 (22.2)

Table 3 ESBL production status of the Gram-negative isolates

Organisms	ESBL			
	ESBL producers (%)	ESBL non producers (%)		
E. coli	19 (70.3%)	08 (29.7%)	27	
Klebsiella species	15 (71.4%)	06 (28.6%)	21	
Pseudomonas species	03 (33.3%)	06 (66.7%)	09	
Acinetobacter species	03 (42.8%)	04 (57.2%)	07	
Total	40 (62.5%)	24 (37.5%)	64	

High bacterial contamination of frequently touched objects/instruments in NICU was recorded. Overall bacterial contamination rate in NICU was 74.6% (109/146) which is higher than other studies [18, 19]. Similar studies have reported contamination rates ranging from 59.2 to 67.8% [10, 19]. Higher bacterial contamination in NICU may be attributed to admission of neonates with variety of clinical conditions, overcrowded units, faecal contamination, easy access to visitors, understaffing and poor compliance to infection control practices. Prolonged NICU stay necessitates frequent visits by mothers and HCW result into increased human activities facilitating exchange of bacterial flora. Bacterial culture yielded wide variety of organisms ranging from opportunistic to potential pathogens. Common potential pathogens isolated were E. coli, Klebsiella species and S. aureus.

Different members of family *Enterobacteriaceae* colonize NICU and cause neonatal infections [20]. The pathogens most often implicated in neonatal infections in resource constrained countries are *Klebsiella* species, *E. coli, Pseudomonas* and *S. aureus* [21]. In our study findings, potential pathogens isolated from various surfaces

were *E. coli*, *Klebsiella* species and *S. aureus*. Majority of the *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* species were recovered from incubators and suction tips. *E. coli* is frequently associated with neonatal sepsis and one of the most common causes of acute pyogenic meningitis among neonates. Surface contamination of NICU by *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* species lead to greater risk of systemic infections like neonatal septicemia, pneumonia and meningitis especially among the premature neonates. Contamination of NICU surfaces by Gram-negative bacilli is possibly associated with the faeces of the neonates. Among *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* isolates, 70.3% (19/27) and 71.4% (15/21) were ESBL producers respectively. High percentage of ESBL producing organisms limit the treatment choice and may result into treatment failure.

Another notorious nosocomial pathogen isolated was S. aureus. Surfaces of radiant warmers yielded highest number of S. aureus and MRSA. Hands of HCW and visitors are the common source of S. aureus and MRSA in hospital. Previous studies have documented that hands of HCW account for 20 to 40% infections due to cross-transmission within the units [22, 23]. Isolation of S. aureus from the surfaces of radiant warmers, stethoscopes and door handles indicate human hands as important source of S. aureus in NICU. Presence of S. aureus and MRSA on these surfaces increases the risk of transmission and may subsequently result into sepsis and pneumonia. Among S. aureus isolates, 33.3% were MRSA. Neonatal infections with MRSA are difficult to treat, leading to prolonged hospital stay and long term therapy. S. aureus has been identified as an important nosocomial pathogen due to its ability to survive on inanimate objects for several days [6]. Biofilm formation helps long term survival of *S. aureus*. Majority of MRSA isolates 66.6% (4/6) in our study were biofilm producers. Previous

study from Manipal hospital had reported slightly lower percentage (62.5%) of biofilm among MRSA isolates from environmental surfaces [24]. This finding is alarming as MRSA isolates embedded in biofilm can survive longer and are potential source of nosocomial infections. There are limited data available in this field which studied biofilm production among environmental isolates.

Microbial flora of NICU surfaces is not significantly different from other units of the hospital environments. However, the additional challenge in preventing nosocomial infections in NICU is the high susceptibility of premature and immunocompromised neonates. Colonization of NICU surfaces by opportunistic nosocomial pathogens like Acinetobacter species, Coagulase negative Staphylococci, Pseudomonas species and Enterococcus species are important for the high risk neonates such as low birth weight, premature and congenital abnormalities. In this study, we observed high resistance of the bacterial isolates to commonly used antibiotics such as ampicillin, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin. Similar findings have been reported in other studies [10, 25]. High percentage of MDR was observed among both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial isolates. Among Gram-negative bacilli, more than 50% of the isolates were MDR which is alarming. Similarly, 27.7% (5/18) of S. aureus isolates were MDR. High percentage of MDR among bacterial pathogens could be attributed to use of higher generation of antibiotics for empirical treatment and use of prophylactic antibiotics for high risk mothers and neonates. Data of antibiotic resistance patterns of bacterial pathogens would help clinicians to formulate empirical antimicrobial therapy in suspected cases of nosocomial infections in NICU. This may help in reducing duration of NICU stay and neonatal mortality. The long term effect will be promoting antimicrobial stewardship.

Blood culture is one the most common microbiological investigations ordered from NICU. Blood culture data of NICU patients revealed that, *S. aureus* and *Klebsiella* species were two most common causes of neonatal sepsis. Similarities in antibiograms were observed among majority of *S. aureus* and *Klebsiella* species isolated from blood culture and in this study of NICU environment. This possibly indicates the nosocomial transmission of these pathogens resulting into sepsis. Comparing antibiotic resistance patterns of environmental isolates and blood culture isolates of NICU is one of the easy phenotypic method. This has been achieved in this study but not by other workers.

Many of the hospitals in resource constrained countries like Nepal, either have no NICU or have single NICU. Because of high bed occupancy in NICU, standard guidelines for cleaning/disinfection are poorly implemented.

This results into increased bacterial colonization and subsequent spread within NICU. It is practically difficult to maintain sterility in the NICU environment because of high rate of HCW activities and use of equipments. Meticulous cleaning/disinfection protocols are necessary to prevent the retention and spread of virulent microbial pathogens in sensitive environment of NICU. In this study we have included most of the objects/instruments commonly touched by HCWs and objects which frequently come in contact of neonates. This is an attempt to determine relevance of flora on these objects and their role in nosocomial infections. The findings of this study have provided baseline information about the degree of contamination and resistance patterns of environmental isolates.

Findings of this study are important to generate awareness among healthcare professionals of resource constrained countries regarding contamination of NICU and their possible role in neonatal nosocomial infections. This study will stimulate scientists/researchers of resource constrained countries to explore more about neonatal nosocomial infections and devise possible preventive measures.

Limitations of the study

Association of potential pathogens isolated from objects/instruments of NICU in sepsis was demonstrated only based on antibiogram of the isolates. Genotypic characterization of the isolates was not performed. Biofilm property was studied only for *S. aureus* isolates. This was single center, time limited study conducted in one tertiary care hospital and results may not be generalized.

Conclusion

Bacterial contamination of objects/instruments in NICU was high. Isolation of potential pathogens like *E. coli, Klebsiella* and *S. aureus* is threat to the neonates. Blood culture data of NICU reflects possibility of nosocomial transmission. This study emphasizes need of suitable decontamination protocols and hand hygiene. Regular surveillance and effective disinfection techniques would reduce the bacterial colonization and transmission to the neonates. Gentamicin and amikacin may be used for empirical therapy in clinically suspected cases of sepsis. Similar studies at different centers are needed for better understanding of nosocomial infections in NICU.

Abbreviations

ESBL: Extended spectrum beta lactamases; HCW: Healthcare workers; ICU: Intensive care units; MRSA: Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MSSA: Methicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*; MDR: Multidrug resistant; NICU: Neonatal intensive care units; VRSA: Vancomycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to all the staffs of Microbiology Department, Manipal Teaching Hospital, Pokhara, Nepal for their help and support. We would like to thank staffs of NICU, Manipal Teaching Hospital for their help. Authors are grateful to Nepal Health Research Council, Nepal, for financial support.

Authors' contributions

DRB as a principal investigator designed the study, collected and processed specimen and drafted manuscript. SHS, DH and RS contributed in specimen processing, identification of the isolates, antibiotic susceptibility testing and data analysis. NN, SG, EG and SB contributed in formulating objectives, drafting and refining manuscript. All authors have read and accepted the manuscript.

Funding

This study was partially supported by Provincial Health Research Grant, Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC), Nepal (Ref. No. 1584).

Availability of data and materials

Contact author for data request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Department of Microbiology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal. ² Department of Pediatrics and Neonatology, Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal.

Received: 25 October 2020 Accepted: 21 January 2021 Published online: 30 January 2021

References

- Couto RC, Carvalho EA, Pedrosa TM, Pedroso ER, Neto MC, Biscione FM. A 10-year prospective surveillance of nosocomial infections in neonatal intensive care units. Am J Infect Control. 2007;35:183–9.
- Stover BH, Shulman ST, Bratcher DF, Brady MT, Levine GL, Jarvis WR. Nosocomial infection rates in US children's hospitals' neonatal and pediatric intensive care units. Am J Infect Control. 2001;29:152–7.
- Tzialla C, Borghesi A, Perotti GF, Garofoli F, Manzoni P, Stronati M. Use and misuse of antibiotics in the neonatal intensive care unit. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2012;25:35–7.
- 4. Tavora AC, Castro AB, Militao MA, Girao JE, Ribeiro KCB, Tavora LGF. Risk factors for nosocomial infection in a Brazilian neonatal intensive care unit. Braz J Infect Dis. 2008;12:75–9.
- Bang AT, Reddy HM, Deshmukh MD, Baitule SB, Bang RA. Neonatal and infant mortality in the ten years (1993–2003) of the Gadchirocoli field trail: effect of home based neonatal care. J Perinatol. 2005;25:92–107.
- 6. Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate surfaces? A systematic review. BMC Infect Dis.
- Kawagoe JY, Segre CM, Pereira CR, Cardoso MF, Silva CV, Fukushima JT. Risk factors for nosocomial infections in critically ill newborns: a 5-year prospective cohort study. Am J Infect Control. 2001;29:109–14.
- Blot S. Limiting the attributable mortality of nosocomial infection and multidrug resistance in intensive care units. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2008:14:5–13.

- Vincent JL, Rello J, Marshall J, Silva E, Anzueto A, Martin CD, et al. International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA. 2009;302:2323–9.
- 10. Yusuf JB, Okwong OK, Mohammed A, Abubakar KS, Babayo A, Barma MM, et al. Bacterial contamination of intensive care units at a tertiary hospital in Bauchi, North eastern Nigeria. AJIM. 2017;5:46–51.
- 11. Cheesbrough M. District laboratory practice in tropical countries. 2nd ed. New York, USA: Cambridge University Press; 2006. p. 62–70.
- Pa W. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, twenty-second informational supplement, CLSI, Document M100 –S27. USA: CLSI; 2017.
- 13. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske G, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18:268–81.
- Mathur T, Singhal S, Khan S, Upadhyay DJ, Fatma T, Rattan A. Detection of biofilm formation among the clinical isolates of staphylococci: an evaluation of three different screening methods. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2006;24:25–9.
- Nagata E, Brito AS, Matsuo T. Nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive care unit: Incidence and risk factors. Am J Infect Control. 2002;30:26–31.
- Auriti C, Maccallini A, Di Liso G, Di Ciommo V, Ronchetti MP, Orzalesi M. Risk factors for nosocomial infections in a neonatal intensive-care unit. J Hosp Infect. 2003;53:25–30.
- Orsi GB, d'Etorre G, Panero A, Chiarini F, Vullo V, Venditti M. Hospital acquired infection surveillance in a neonatal intensive care unit. Am J Infect Control. 2009;37:201–3.
- 18. Abubakar AS, Barma MM, Balla HJ, Tanimu YS, Waru GB, Dibal J. Spectrum of bacterila isolates among intensive care units patients in a tertiary hospital in northeastern Nigeria. Ind J Sci Res and Tech. 2014;2:42–7.
- Damaceno QS, Iquiapaza R, Oliveira AC. Comparing Resistant Microrgamisms isolated from patients and environment in an intensive care unit. Adv Infect Dis. 2014:4:30–5.
- Gastmeier P, Loui A, Stamm-Balderjahn S, Hansen S, Zuschneid I, Sohr D, et al. Outbreaks in neonatal intensive care units—they are not like others. Am J Infect Control. 2007;35:172–6.
- 21. Huynh BT, Padget M, Garin B, Herindrainy P, Duchemin EK, Watier L, et al. Burden of bacterial resistance among neonatal infections in low income countries: how convincing is the epidemiological evidence? BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15:127.
- 22. Huang SS, Datta R, Platt R. Risk of acquiring antibiotic resistant bacteria from prior room occupants. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:1945–51.
- Bhalla A, Pultz NJ, Gries DM, Ray AJ, Eckstein EC, Aron DC, et al. Acquisition of nosocomial pathogens on hands after contact with environmental surfaces near hospitalized patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2004;25:164–7.
- Bhatta DR, Hamal D, Shrestha R, Subramanya SH, Baral N, Singh RK, et al. Bacterial contamination of frequently touched objects in a tertiary care hospital of Pokhara, Nepal: how safe are our hands? Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2018;7:97.
- 25. Tajeddin E, Rashidan M, Razaghi M, Javadi SSS, Sherafat SJ, Alebouyeh M, et al. The role of the intensive care unit environment and health-care workers in the transmission of bacteria associated with hospital acquired infections. J Infect Public Health. 2016;9:13–23.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.